

think we ought to conclude that business and then we can go into, I think Senator Bromm has an amendment. I think it might be appropriate to bracket it, but I'm thinking that this...we would have to restart and go through what we just had been going through. So I'm going to yield my time to Senator Bernard-Stevens and see what he thinks about how we proceed.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Bernard-Stevens.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Senator Wesely. And I do concur. I think there's going to be a point this morning when the bracket will be more appropriate. One of the reasons I offered the bracket motion is I know people who are interested in talking about the amendment to the amendment had already spoken three times. This was a way they could continue speaking. But I suspect that what we probably procedurally need to do is get to a vote on the amendment to the amendment, which is basically the Stuhr amendment anyway, and then that frees people up to offer the amendments that they needed to do so we could see if there's going to be progress, if it can be worked out or not. And so at this point I withdraw the bracket motion.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: The motion to bracket is withdrawn. We return now to Senator Matzke's motion to amend the Stuhr amendment to LB 712. Senator Bromm, your light is next.

SENATOR BROMM: Thank you, Madam President. I support the amendment to the amendment. I think we should get this adopted and get onto the next item of business with the bill. Now that doesn't mean that everything that's in the amendment is going to stay that way, or that I won't necessarily offer amendments to it or that someone else might not, but I think to further the discussion we need to proceed with the amendment and get on to some other parts of the bill as well. I wanted to, while it's fresh in my mind, respond briefly at least to Senator Chambers' comments. Senator Chambers, the perception that the adoption agencies are pushing any part of this bill is totally inaccurate and false, if that's what you're stating. I think Senator Matzke would have to agree that when this bill was drafted it was without the urging of the agencies as we know the main agencies in the state. We have met with them and I have met with them on several occasions recently to try to work out some language and amendments that they are supportive of. This particular amendment I don't believe is one of them that they authored or anything else. And the provision of the bill that I