

individual basis, and that's why it is such a stupid law because it is saying you've got to do it, and, oh, by the way, you don't have to do it. But the federal government is saying, boy, we're tough on this issue, but they haven't done anything. So, consequently, it's a dumb idea, it is a dumb law, but we need to do it in order to get the money, so I will reluctantly support it.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Chambers, on the Beutler amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, the reason I am turning on my light, Senator Beutler and Senator Bohke were trying to explain things to me, but I didn't want the question to be called or anything before I had a chance to get clear what is happening. Senator Beutler, in your amendment, it uses the term firearm as defined in 18 U.S.C. 921. Do we have that definition anywhere before us so we will know what it is that we're talking about?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator, it is not my amendment. It is Senator Bohke's amendment. I do not have that definition in front of me right now.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Senator Bohke, do you have anything with the definition of firearm, since that is what this is tied to?

SENATOR BOHLKE: Yes, I do.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Could you...

SENATOR BOHLKE: Yes, I will.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you.

SENATOR BOHLKE: Senator Chambers, it is long to read. May I...do you want me to bring this over?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, if you will, and in the meantime I will speak, and maybe I will have a chance to speak again after I have...I can glance at it. Members of the Legislature, I have heard the description that various of my colleagues have given to this requirement. I wonder why our action never follows our conviction. If we think this is an undue requirement being imposed by the federal government, then we shouldn't do it, and if we are going to knuckle under, and this is why I am saying