

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Hartnett. Senator Hall, on the Matzke amendment.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Madam President and members, Senator Matzke, could we continue down the...

SENATOR MATZKE: Yes.

SENATOR HALL: ...back on page 1451 and if I could just for the record get a response if you would. I'm looking again at (5B) on the first line 14 of the page where we talk about the portion of the affidavit where it says that as the relinquishing mother, it says I'm unwilling or unable to identify the biological father or possible biological fathers. I do not wish or I am unable to name the biological father of the child for the following reasons and then we list two and a catch-all phrase. But then sub (6), item (6) on the affidavit says...asks for the physical description of the biological father or possible biological fathers or any other information which may assist in identifying him including the city, state, county where conception occurred. If, as the relinquishing mother, they were to basically check off Section 5, one of those options, would it be necessary to fill out Section 6 because the two seem to conflict. If I were to say I'm unwilling to identify for whatever reason or am unable to identify, then the...would there be a need to pursue the physical description aspect as spelled out in the affidavit?

SENATOR MATZKE: No, there would not be. Those are actually alternative situations and that's even more evident if you look at paragraph (5A). If the biological mother actually names the biological father...

SENATOR HALL: Right.

SENATOR MATZKE: ...then of course (5B) and (6) would not be necessary because you wouldn't need any physical description of him either.

SENATOR HALL: So if the...

SENATOR MATZKE: He's already identified.

SENATOR HALL: That would be enough for purposes of complying