

whatever committee or group is going to do that, approvals will have been granted contingent on the receipt of the money, and when the money comes it will be passed on out. So there will never be \$6 million in this fund. So the narrower you can make it and the more closely you...the closer you can come to limiting it to those small operations that everybody says is the purpose of this bill the better we will be and the more sincerity can attach to the effort. But as long as the possibility exists for this bill to go in all directions at the same time, and as Senator Hall said, spread so thin on that slice of bread you don't know that you got peanut butter at all. Then we are presenting a bill that does nothing except create more cynicism toward the Legislature. We once again are being put in a position where we're going to make representations through our discussions on the floor that will cause the public, or certain elements of the public, to think that money is available for loans and grants. Available at a level which will never be achieved.

SENATOR CROSBY: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And when a selection has to be made between Mr. A, Ms. B, Mr. C to the exclusion of E, F, G and the rest, then people are going to say there is a political shell game being played here. So I see nothing wrong with restricting the reach of this bill. If we got big operations that have fleets of trucks now and they're traveling all over the state and throughout the region and maybe even across the country, we should not even think about them getting any of this money, regardless of how few employees they may have. Senator Hall's amendment narrows a bit more this bill to go along with the narrowing that Senator Wickersham's amendment did. If his is adopted I think we're in a position to then do some additional refining that if a bill is presented...

SENATOR CROSBY: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...will result in a bill that does do something.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Chambers. And thank you so much for not speaking so long. Senator Bernard-Stevens on the Hall amendment. (Laughter.)

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: That's a contradiction now isn't it,