

But the intent of it is not to help that type of company but to help others. So thank you.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Engel. Senator Chambers, on the Hall amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President, members of the Legislature, it's so late in the day and Senator Hall and I have been referred to as Hall and Oats, the singing duo, so what I had thought about was having Senator Hall and I tune up and sing you all a song. But you're not worthy of it yet, you're still too alert. And so I'm just going to give this time back to the Chair. But I do want to say again that I support Senator Hall's amendment and I wish that my colleagues would understand that I'm not just trying to be argumentative, Senator Engel and Senator Brashear, when I mention that it's one thing to say what your intent is, but it's quite a different matter to look at the language of the statute, because you have to determine from that language what is allowed and permitted. We can say I did not envision that happening, when it was discussed we made it clear on the floor we didn't want that to happen. But what will be looked at is what does the bill say. And based on the language in the bill everything that Senator Hall says can happen, can happen and is authorized. Everything that Senator Brashear and Senator Maurstad express as hopes might be what all of us would hope, should a bill like this pass. But it's our job, when we're in the process of legislating to select and insert the type of language that confines the bill's operation to those things we say it should address. And if there is language which is ambiguous and the ambiguity would allow it to reach further than we intend, how much difficulty is there in restricting the language? And that's what Senator Hall is attempting to do and it's why I support it. This bill with this little fund is going off in more directions than the number of eggs...legs that an octopus has. There is so much that is envisioned that this bill is going to allow that people, I think, are forgetting that the total amount of this fund is never going to be \$6 million at any given period. In fact, the only time it will probably have \$3 million is when the initial appropriation is made. I'm sure that by the time of the second appropriation, because I agree with Senator Maurstad, this money is going to flow out like somebody's got the runs and took Ex-Lax thinking they were eating a Hershey bar. So that first \$3 million is "phlllll", it's gone. By the time the second 3 million is to be appropriated I'm sure there will have been loans processed by