

people be an indignity, we should correct it when we can do it so easily as in this manner. Now I have to go back to the nose mashing I just received on Senator Preister's amendment which I supported very strongly and still do. I'm opposed to discrimination against anybody, even old people. And, Senator Coordsen, I'm at the age where I fit into that category. I don't want to be called a senior citizen, I want to be called what I am, old. I'm an old man. I'm not a senior citizen. I am an old man and that's what I want to be referred to if age is going to be a point of reference, ancient, aged, wise, any of those things. If this were an amendment to which the insurance industry objected, I'm sure somebody would have raised the question of germaneness. I don't raise it. I believe, and I've always said it, that our germaneness rules should comport with the constitutional requirement that as long as two different subjects are not put in the same bill, those subjects in the same bill are germane to the bill. I will not uphold the Chair on a germaneness ruling when it narrows the germaneness rules application. Any ruling by the Chair that expands the sweep and scope of the germaneness rule I will support. We have to reach the point, as somebody pointed out earlier, of realizing that when we vote a certain way because an issue is a certain issue and the insurance company or somebody in the lobby doesn't like it, that vote can come back to bite us. It could have come back to bite us right now, but who is going to raise the issue? Who is going to raise the question? This is one that the insurance industry will allow the Legislature to act on in a favorable way. I'd like to ask Senator Witek a question.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute. Senator Witek.

SENATOR WITEK: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Witek, have you followed the discussion of this amendment?

SENATOR WITEK: Somewhat. I did hear that you were wise and old.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, and you're aware of what the amendment seeks to do in terms of not just allowing an individual officer to require this retesting, but there has to be a written explanation of the request and a supervising officer would have to agree to it.