

chip. And so it tastes better anyhow. And so they will have about 30 million...there will be about 350 million pounds of corn purchased and there's about 35,000 bushel per day hauled in there, and there's about 8 billion, that's 8 billion bags a year that Frito Lay puts out. That's quite a few bags. That's about 33 bags per person. Gothenburg area is proud to be a part of this. I think we can all learn a lesson from Gothenburg people and the surrounding area. They did a good job in promoting this. They went after what they wanted and I think Nebraska can learn a lesson from the Gothenburg area. And so we do need companies in Nebraska and so let's go get them. So enjoy your Frito Lays. Thank you.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Preister, for what reason do you rise?

SENATOR PREISTER: Honorable President, I rise to have a vote on overruling the ruling of the Chair on the germaneness of my amendment.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Okay, Senator Preister, the motion then is to overrule the Chair on the ruling concerning germaneness. As I understand the dis...as I recall the rule here, each member...you're making the motion, you can open on your motion. Each member has only one opportunity to speak. Is that correct, Mr. Clerk? As a conclusion of that then we will vote and the vote needed will be a majority of those members present to overrule the Chair. Senator Preister, you then are recognized to open on your motion to overrule the Chair.

SENATOR PREISTER: With the ground rules now set and, Senator Cudaback, chips ahoy, we'll move forward and I think that the issue is one that's very much of concern to people, particularly in the area where I live. Many of them are being treated unfairly. There, as one example, is a person who had lived in west Omaha and has moved down into downtown. As a result of moving from living in an apartment, a result of having his car parked in an undesignated parking spot in west Omaha, having paid a certain rate there, driving down through rush hour traffic along the Interstate through almost a barricade of hazards on his way downtown, then moved downtown where he doesn't have to commute at all, is now living in an apartment where he has a locked and a secure parking space, and should theoretically have lower insurance, he now has his insurance rate increased rather than decreased, and that somehow doesn't seem fair. I think the issue of fairness is one that we're