

to be adopted in the original green copy of the bill. Also the confidentiality requirement would be retained. So the committee amendments are technical changes as suggested by the auditor. I would urge the adoption of those amendments and would respond to any questions, should there be some.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thank you, Senator Hall. On the committee amendments for discussion, Senator Witek.

SENATOR WITEK: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, Senator Hall, I don't want to stop this bill but I do want to ask questions, so if you can answer them quick, I will ask them quick. What are the differences between what the practice is now and what the practice will be with the adoption of your bill?

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: Mr. President and members, Senator Witek, the adoption of those generally accepted audit standards probably will be none, but there was formerly none that are on record. So, in other words, I doubt that there will be very little change, it's just that there will be a requirement of the auditor to adopt those generally accepted auditing standards for purposes of governmental entities, and...

SENATOR WITEK: Would this bill cover records...

SENATOR HALL: That's why the auditor had no problem with it, really.

SENATOR WITEK: ...kind of retroactively to some of the problems that you have perceived that we have been having with the auditor, that you have been having with the auditor? Will this cover some of those back record too, you are protecting some of these back records?

SENATOR HALL: No, those records, under the decision by Judge Blue in the court case, are protected. I mean they, clearly the confidentiality aspect has been dealt with by the court, the district court in Lancaster County. What it does is there was a question as to whether or not, if those records changed form somehow, if they showed up, if there was a request for information in a letter, and information that was in those original records was taken from the record and sent back in the