

SENATOR HALL: Right.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: ...under those requirements, we'd probably be eliminated.

SENATOR HALL: Right. But that is not the point, and I am not interested in the job, but my question is the second portion down there, 17 through 23. Now we are putting in a new subsection (f) in this same section, and that deals with continuing ed, basically?

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Yes.

SENATOR HALL: Okay. We spell out utility and railroad appraisal, and then we reinstate or restate, I guess, rather, the complex industrial properties. Do we talk about utility and railroad appraisal up above someplace?

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: We do that, and we've had some discussion about that. If you will look, Senator Hall, over on page...

SENATOR HALL: Basically, here we are talking about centrally assessed type property.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: We are, and Senator Bromm asked a question of me, and, quite frankly, I thought his question was pretty good. I am going to reexamine that centrally assessed area because I am not sure, it conflicts with what my flow chart I had in there, that was certainly my intent. I would seriously consider taking that centrally assessed part out but I wanted to look at it before we got it done.

SENATOR HALL: Okay, and I apologize. I was off the floor while...when you were discussing the bulk of the committee amendments because I do have a question. I know they were adopted. I passed on it because I have a question that I will address. I don't have any problem with this amendment, I think, but the...I am just looking at it, the...

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Well, Senator Hall, what I am going to do is between now and Select File is I am...I think there are people that had some considerations of salary, I think there were some that had considerations of doing the railroad evaluation, or the railroad protest, and Senator Pirsch had some