

intercounty equalization issues and individual valuations.

SENATOR AVERY: Okay. One thing, you know, and I don't know if this is appropriate but the one idea that I had toyed around and talked to some other people about is if there potentially could be two lay individuals in addition to the three mer that would be on a rotate two-year term to bring in maybe other ideas, other expanded knowledge on that, you know, maybe more of the political aspect of what's happening in the state. You know, I don't know, that was just an idea that...go ahead, I'll...

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Thank you, Senator Avery, I do have an amendment that I'm going to introduce here this afternoon that staggers those terms among the members and so two of the three will be lay people if...as an attorney...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Time.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: ...I have trouble with that calling one normal and two not, but whatever.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Kristensen, Senator Avery. Senator Maurstad.

SENATOR MAURSTAD: Thank you, Madam President, if Senator Kristensen could yield to a question or two.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Kristensen.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Yes.

SENATOR MAURSTAD: Senator, in item three of the explanation of the committee amendments talks about the commission being allowed to order reappraisals. Who would be responsible for the costs associated with those reappraisals?

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: The reappraisals, through the committee amendment, would have the opportunity, I mean it's going to be the county's obligation because they're in charge of doing appraisals. If the commission determines that a mass reappraisal is necessary or you could even do a reappraisal of a particular class or you could do it of a neighborhood, I suppose, that one of the powers is the ability to assess the cost back to those groups that are responsible that should have done it in the first place, and that would be the county, not