

on you, Senator Maurstad, on you, Senator Hall, because it says persons in the plural, which doesn't say, as a class, you make a determination of what overall impact it is going to have, you break this down to persons. And if Senator Maurstad tells me I am one of those persons and it is going to affect me, and Senator Hall tells me the same thing, and say, will you represent me? Well, I may not be the most successful lawyer, and whereas I may not be a lunch hunter like the average legislator, and by the way, there will be some lunch hunting today, poor ratites and elk, but at any rate, I am not a lunch hunter, but I...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...will represent the two of you and I will make use of the determination made by the state agency that it is likely that this rule is going to have a negative fiscal impact on me, on my clients, and I want to take it to court, and I will use, to help win your case, what that agency determined, because you have got to put in a location where I can inspect it and get a copy of it, this estimate of the fiscal impact on the state agencies, the political subdivisions, and persons. So what happens then? You are going to get upset because there is too much litigation? You are going to say these cases are frivolous? You are encouraging it, and these are things that ought to be considered, but my main objection, although I think those are of substance, is that we are doing violence to our legislative process by letting a bill such as this get as far as it has gotten.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Time. Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Bromm.

SENATOR BROMM: Thank you, Madam President. Senator Withem, I am going to attempt to answer your question. I had a meeting and I got back to the floor just as you were asking your question, so if I don't answer it, I will give you time to rephrase it or whatever. But as I heard the question as I came in, you asked could someone tell you what value the bill has, if I understood it correctly, and I am going to respond by saying that I think, very simply, the bill could save some private citizens some time and some money and some headache. If a regulation, which would have been imposed, is not imposed because the drafters of the regulation decided that it had some unintended or some detrimental effect on someone, and because