

every minute that is available to me to fight this bill to do exactly that. This motion to bracket, if those who support this bill want to do the smart thing, will be adopted. Accept this motion to bracket until June 15th. Then at any point when you get 25 votes, you can move to unbracket it, but if you don't get 25 votes, then the bill remains bracketed until June 15th. And I will tell you this much. If you vote to bracket this bill until June 15th, I would resist any effort to unbracket it, and I would try to argue, as persuasively as I could, to convince enough senators to defeat the motion to unbracket, that should we unbracket it, we are going to have a long discussion. We are going to have a lot of amendments to cover, and then there is that likelihood of a fiscal note. But while the bill is bracketed, it would give everybody the opportunity to evaluate whether you even want to put this requirement...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...on state agencies. I simply don't want to do it. This is one of those times when I am going to give a few seconds back.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Lynch, to speak to the Chambers amendment to the bracket. Senator Dierks. Senator, there is a new motion up. Senator Withem.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Yes, I will be supporting the bracket motion. I will probably support Senator Chambers' amendment to it. That failing, I will probably support the bracket motion. I think entirely too much time has probably been spent on this bill. I am not critical of anyone, I guess, maybe other than those that are not listening and engaging mentally in the process, and let me explain that a little bit. The bill, I can recall when I first came in the Legislature, bills like this one would come forward out of committees, maybe the committees did a member a favor. Maybe they hadn't thought the bill through. Maybe they had given it an early hearing and people weren't geared up for it. A bill like this would hit the floor of the Legislature, so people that had concerns with it would raise questions. We would engage in debate on the floor of the Legislature. We would talk about the pros of the bill. We'd talk about the cons of the bill. And, gradually, a consensus would come across the body, maybe this isn't a good bill, and the body would change its mind based on the quality of debate on the floor. On this one, I am speaking as a member here, keep in mind. I know every