

PRESIDENT ROBAK: The house is under call. Would senators please return to their seats. Will all unauthorized personnel please leave the floor. The house is under call. We are accepting call-in votes. The question before you is, shall debate cease? We are accepting call-in votes.

CLERK: Senator Warner voting yes. Senator Pirsch, you had voted yes, Senator. Senator Withem voting yes. Senator Brown voting yes. Senator Schrock voting yes. Senator Janssen voting yes.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Please record.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 5 nays, Madam President, to cease debate.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Debate ceases. Senator Chambers, to close on his amendment. The house is still under call. Senators, please check in.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President and members of the Legislature, and, Senator Jones, my good friend, Senator Jones, what this amendment would do, if you adopt it, is to say that all provisions of the United States Constitution, all provisions of the Nebraska Constitution, all federal laws, all state laws would have to be considered and given the same treatment when reviewing any rule or regulation, as is required by LB 168 to be given when a rule or regulation would possibly result in taking property, whatever taking might mean, whatever property might mean. I had offered an amendment, which in the knee-jerk fashion of my colleagues, was rejected. And that amendment to this one would have taken out the requirement that you look at all the individual laws. It is clear from that vote that you would want an analysis to include the requirements of all the laws of the federal government and the State of Nebraska, and if you reject this amendment, I'll think that you are just being coy with me, that you say no, when you really mean yes, and I intend to continue with my effort. I am going to try to add a number of individual constitutional rights, which I deem to be at least as important as the right to property. And I shall not be dissuaded. I shall not be deterred, though on individual amendments I may be defeated. This is important enough, in my view, to fight to the very bitter end, should it be bitter, but there is no need for bitterness because the issues are serious enough to justify serious discussion. We are talking about what priority the Legislature is going to give to property rights.