

haven't got that down from the fiscal office yet as what that could be.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SPEAKER WITHEM: So if there is a fiscal anal...you don't know what the fiscal impact will be but there could be a fiscal impact and if there is one, then you will be offering an A bill to accompany this bill. Is that correct?

SENATOR JONES: That is correct, when we get one down, yes.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Okay, and I don't know how you would analyze the fiscal impact but I could guess if we really are literally expecting agencies to spend time to determine how much potential wetlands there is, to use an example, or how much potential undeveloped property there is out there that a state agency would cause not to be developed, those are going to take some fairly sophisticated fiscal analysis and it is going to cost some money so I think that the burden placed on state agencies here and on the taxpayers to pay for this analysis is too much. I think Senator Chambers' amendment broadens that. For that reason, I, philosophically, I cannot support your amendment but for the same reason I don't support the underlying bill at this point.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Withem. Senator Hall. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President and members of the Legislature, and thank you, Senator Hall. Senator Withem is exactly right, but I don't think the body is heeding it. I'd like to ask Senator Wehrbein a question.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Wehrbein, in reading through the Constitution, I came across something that I'm sure we're all familiar with, but is it true that if the Legislature attempts to appropriate money which would be more than the Governor's budgetary recommendation, that amount requires a super majority of the Legislature to be enacted? Is that true?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes, I think so. I bet I haven't read that. I assume that means the 30 votes which it takes to do an