

interpretation.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Okay, thank you very much. I do rise, along this line, I'm not...frankly, Senator Chambers, I'm not going to...you and I have a similar view on LB 168. I am not going to support your amendment but I'm going to at least enter into the record as to why I do not think it is a good amendment. I think that the bill itself, contrary to what some of the opponents of the bill have said, I know Senator Landis gave a very good speech yesterday that I will not be able to paraphrase or characterize accurately, probably, but my impression of what Senator Landis was saying was LB 168 is a bad bill, but it doesn't do a whole heck of a lot so let's just vote against it, let those that want it get it enacted and go on about the rest of our business. And then he went ahead and gave some good arguments as to why it is not a good bill. But since then other people that are opponents of this have stood up and said the bill really doesn't do anything. I think it does do something. I think it does something that we don't want to do in this age and era of trying to limit the cost of state government. Maybe the previous bill, the green copy of the bill, wouldn't have done anything because maybe it was duplicative of already...because the Attorney General reviews rules and regulations for constitutionality. Senator Jones I am going to have a question about the fiscal impact of this in a second. I'm leading up to that. And maybe it wouldn't have done anything, would not have done anything because of the Attorney General already doing it, but now the bill adds a provision for each and every rule and regulation that each and every state agency has to follow for them to do a fiscal analysis, an estimated but not necessarily quantified fiscal impact on the state or an explanation of where the description of the type of property and fiscal impact may be inspected and obtained. That's a fairly onerous responsibility on state agencies. We, hopefully, limited that somewhat with my amendment yesterday, but, Senator Jones, are you planning on introducing an A bill to provide the staffing for the state agencies to provide this analysis?

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES: Senator Withem, I know that the way it was wrote up on the green copy there was no fiscal impact to the state and now that we have amended it and put the burden back over on agents in place of the Attorney General, they could be, and I