

can order you to do it and you'd better do it. Now we would say that borders on child abuse, but that is exactly what the Legislature is doing with these agencies. We know that somewhere in the world there is somebody who knows how to bake a cake. We know that somewhere in the world there are written recipes for baking cakes, but for the purposes of this one whom we are ordering to bake it, there is not the recipe given. Senator Wickersham is content to say, well, the courts know what property means. The court knows what taking means so it's not necessary that the agencies know, but still we want them to do this. We want them to check out any impact on property although they don't know what it is because we don't know what it is and cannot tell them, but they had better do it because there is a statutory requirement that they do it. Senator Wickersham acknowledges that he nor anybody else can define what takings will mean, but, by jing, I think that's something Abraham Lincoln used to say, these agencies had better figure out what it means, but if there is no standard by which they will act, where is the standard by which to judge how they have acted? There is no standard. The Legislature is incapable of giving them a standard and, such being the case, I'm surprised that Senator Brashear does not see this as a piece of foolish, unnecessary legislation, that it is onerous, it is much broader in its impact than those who are supporting it have stated it to be and maybe they themselves don't recognize what it is that they're presenting to the Legislature. A youngster in Omaha the other day, 14 years old, had gotten hold of a pistol and he and some of his friends were playing with it and he, it had a cylinder, and he spun the cylinder, put it to his head and fired and he pulled the trigger and the hammer fell on an empty chamber. He did it again and luck changed, as often happens, and there was a live round under the hammer and it was fired into his head. Children should not play with loaded weapons. The Legislature has been given a loaded weapon. The Legislature, as one philosopher said, or asked, if they will not take advice, if they won't take warning, why do you expect them to take advice? I'm not going to support this just to do a good turn for Senator Jones.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I'm not going to support it simply because I respect Senator Wickersham. I'm going to oppose it because I respect our lawmaking process and because I am mindful of how inappropriate it is for us to place responsibilities on an