

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Is there anything in the resolution that I talked about in my dialogue that would...is there anything in the resolution that would not...let me rephrase that question. Is there anything in the bill...is there anything in the bill that would not or could not be accomplished by us passing the resolution that I just talked about?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Having listened to your description as best I could, I just can't make that kind of a critical comparison. It's beyond my ability to take your oral statement and compare it to this bill and give you an answer on the spot.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Senator Brashear, for getting involved in this debate this morning.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thank you, Senator Bernard-Stevens. I understand there's an amendment on the desk.

CLERK: Senator Chambers would move to amend his amendment, Mr. President. (Chambers amendment appears on page 1399 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, in discussing this with Senator Brashear, this amendment, even though I'm offering it in all seriousness, is overly broad so what my amendment would do is strike the words "and laws". This would limit the consideration to provisions of the Constitution. Actually, when you consider the laws of the federal government, those laws that have been enacted, it would be impossible, even if somebody sincerely wanted to comply with this, it would be impossible to do. When you consider even the laws of the State of Nebraska, the way this is drafted each one would have to be considered. That is an impossibility. Even though I don't like the bill and I don't think we should enact it, this amendment that I'm offering should not partake of the same flaws that I see in the bill. So this particular amendment should not take much discussion and I hope that it can be adopted. But I also need the opportunity to comment on some of the things that Senator Brashear has said or his responses to questions. When statutes are being analyzed there is no court of which I am aware, whose opinions I have read, which have declared that legislatures single out for emphasis those things it does not