

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members, I haven't spoken on this bill and am not going to really. I'm going to talk about the amendment. And, Senator Chambers, I have two questions. Would you yield for two quick...now I'm smiling at you, Senator Chambers, so get ready.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I am. I have my armor on and my guards up.

SENATOR CROSBY: All right. Who wrote this amendment out?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I did.

SENATOR CROSBY: And in the one, two, three, four, five, fifth line, how do you spell analysis?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: A-n-l-y-s-i-s.

SENATOR CROSBY: Well, no, that's not really how you spell it.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, that's...you asked how I spell it. That's how I spell it.

SENATOR CROSBY: Well, you spelled it wrong. You spelled it wrong. I'm sorry, Senator Chambers, and I'll tell you why I brought it up because here I am, Mr. Perfect has feet of clay. You make mistakes, so that makes me feel much better about you this morning. You're human just like the rest of us. Thank you.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thank you, Senator Crosby. Senator Preister.

SENATOR PREISTER: Thank you, Honorable President, friends all, now that we know who has feet of clay and who is human, at least we have a little levity to the discussion to start the morning and I certainly appreciate that. I think Senator Chambers' proposed amendment to LB 168 offers an interesting approach. In effect, he is saying that with LB 168 we have singled out one section of the Constitution for special protection. We said that property rights deserve more protection than any other right that is guaranteed in the Constitution. We've singled it out to say, in the bill at least, that if property rights are more important than speech rights, the right to free press, the right to assembly, religion, due process, it seems to me that it is an important right but is it really more important than any of these other rights? And what Senator Chambers is saying with