

shall undertake an analysis with reference to such provisions, of the same type as that required with regard to the potential taking of property." The purpose of this amendment is to bring into focus the fact that property rights are not more important than each and every other right guaranteed to the citizens by virtue of the U.S. Constitution and laws and the Nebraska Constitution and state laws. So if you're willing to accept this, it would not be necessary to offer amendments itemizing each one of those rights which to me are on a par with property rights in most instances and some, in my opinion, are above property rights in terms of their significance and value. I don't think that the kind of legislation which LB 168 typifies is the kind that the Legislature should be enacting. But since there seems to be an intent at this point to push forward with it, I'm going to make sure that the views I have relative to the importance of other rights will be a part of the record and if possible made a part of this bill before it moves, if it ever moves. This amendment would simply indicate that when rules are being considered by any state agency, that agency must consider specifically not only whether some property right might be interfered with, but whether any right which any citizen or resident or person would have is going to be infringed upon or affected by this rule. What has to be added to this amendment would be a requirement that some kind of statement be drafted and put in some location which will be identified by the rule-making agency which allows public inspection to make sure that there was consideration given of all these constitutional and statutory rights. That would simply track the requirement contained in LB 168 to have word of this analysis relative to property rights put someplace where the public can do an inspection. There would be a multitude of rights which could be specified, but if you want to get a notion of some of those that are important, you could look at the Bill of Rights attached to the U.S. Constitution. The Bill of Rights consists of the First Ten Amendments to the U.S. Constitution. The tenth amendment would not necessarily have to be considered because that is the notorious one which says any rights not granted to the federal government remain with the states. So we wouldn't have to put something like that in a requirement for an agency to deal with. But all of the others would have to be there, in my opinion, and I intend to make sure that the Legislature considers each one of these rights. But I say again, a way to avoid that would be to adopt this amendment. I intend to push it vigorously. I intend to discuss it and I intend to discuss other amendments that I intend to offer. When a bill such as this is presented to us,