

a state and try to get something passed on it. So I've got...I'm going to give part of my time to Senator Bromm and Senator Wickersham, if they want to finish up on the closing of it. Thank you.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Bromm, you have about four minutes left.

SENATOR BROMM: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm just going to summarize my thoughts on the amendment which really becomes the bill. Again, the purpose of the bill is to require or to at least suggest that agencies take a look at the effect on property and the people's right to enjoy and possess their property before they enact regulations which might adversely impact it. If they're going to find that there is an impact before they enact such regulation they are required to include in the notice of the hearing that they have an analysis of the potential taking, a description of the type of property which might be taken and the possible impact, fiscal impact to the state. And I don't think that that's harmful, I don't think that that's far-reaching or overreaching. I think it simply asks our state agencies to have a cognizant awareness of what this will do to people. And again I would suggest to you that that has not always been the case, at least on the federal level. And I don't know why we should not try to take these precautionary steps on the local level. Again, this will not result in compensation to people that weren't entitled to it before. It doesn't change their rights under the constitution either way. So I think it's simply a precautionary measure that will suggest to the Attorney General and to the agency that they should look at the situation, look at the regulation in light of this law revision and act accordingly. Senator Wickersham, do you care to finish up?

SPEAKER WITHEM: About two minutes, Senator Wickersham.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Thank you, Senator Bromm and Mr. Speaker. I really do not have a great deal to add to what Senator Bromm and Senator Jones have already said. I do think, as has been stated by Senator Bromm, that this does not...and Senator Beutler, does not expand or contract anyone's rights. As the discussion with Senator Chambers I hope made clear what we were talking about in terms of a taking or what we're talking about in terms of protected property rights that are going to be compensated for if they're taken, all of that is