

what it's doing. I'm not sure it has a great impact on the substance of the bill or the amendment. But I think Senator Beutler is right in his analysis of the duplicative language and the fact that the language that is currently in statute probably serves the purposes that the new language is intended to. So for that reason I would rise in support of the Beutler amendment. And with that, I would yield my remaining time to Senator Chambers.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Senator Chambers, and yours will be the next light, too.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Thank you, Senator Will. Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I support Senator Beutler's amendment, and this is an area that I had tried to engage some of the members in a discussion on the other day. If you...if I'm correct in understanding Senator Beutler's amendment, Senator Beutler, may I ask you a question? Has your amendment been handed around, or you explained it to us?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator, I just explained it to folks. It really is only a few words, so...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, would...so that I'll be clear, are you...which line are you starting in?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay, on lines 2, Senator,...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...page 2,...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Um-huh.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...I'm striking the words "and to the estimated but not necessarily quantified fiscal impact on the state".

SENATOR CHAMBERS: All right.

SENATOR BEUTLER: And then in line 4 I'm striking "and fiscal impact".

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay.