

subsection (c). Now, subsection (c) is one of the requirements that you have to meet in giving a notice on a rule and regulation, you have to send out a notice, and the notice has to contain certain things. And one of the things it has to contain, you can read it there, a description but not necessarily a quantification of the fiscal impact, okay, on state agencies, on political subdivisions, and here, most importantly, on persons being regulated. Now, the question you should ask yourself is, how is it possible to have a taking that didn't have a fiscal impact. I mean that's what it's all about, right, something that has a fiscal impact on persons. And so I think perfectly logically our law is ahead of the law from around the nation because our law anticipates taking a look at all fiscal impacts, including necessarily any fiscal impact that would derive from a taking. And so what my amendment does is to go down on lines 2, 3 and 4 of that same page, page 1335, which sets into the law, in my opinion, some perfectly duplicative language. Okay. Now compare to subsection (c) above, compare this language down below which says we have to have the estimated but not necessarily quantified fiscal impact on the state. Well, folks, I submit to you that's the same thing we already require except we already require it in a broader statement because the fiscal impact that we require already is the fiscal impact on individuals, which is really what this is all about, right. I mean we're supposed to be dealing with takings down below and yet the estimated but necessarily quantified fiscal impact is the impact on the state, I suppose it's because the state is the one who pays. But I think that the existing language is actually broader than this, and I don't see how, in any reasonable sense, it makes...it says something any different. And so I submit that that duplicate language be deleted is all I'm doing is deleting the words "the estimated but not necessarily quantified fiscal impact on the state" in lines 2 and 3 and then the related words "and fiscal impact" on line 4, so as to cause the overall language I think to be more integrated, although it's got a ways to go. Thank you.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS PRESIDING

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Will, your light was on from before, do you wish to speak on the amendment?

SENATOR WILL: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the body. I rise in support of the Beutler amendment. I understand exactly