

March 28, 1995 LB 168

I do see five hands. Question is, shall debate cease? All in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay. Senator Witek.

SENATOR WITEK: There are no votes against, so I would ask for a call of the house with call-in votes accepted.

SPEAKER WITHEM: I assume the condition still applies, so we'll...

SENATOR WITEK: yes.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Question is, shall the house go under call? All in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay. Record.

CLERK: 17 ayes, 0 nays to go under call, Mr. President.

SPEAKER WITHEM: House is under call. Question is, shall debate cease? Members absent from the Chamber please return. Members in the Chamber please take your seats, record your presence, the house is under call. Senator Witek, did you authorize call-in votes? Call-in votes have been authorized.

CLERK: Senator Lynch voting yes. Senator Hartnett voting yes. Senator Lindsay voting yes. Senator Fisher voting yes. Senator Schellpeper voting yes. Senator Engel voting yes.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Record.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 1 nay to cease debate, Mr. President.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Debate is ceased. Senator Chambers, you are recognized to close.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, some have asked me what this amendment would do. On page 2 of the Jones-Wickersham amendment, at the top of the page in line 1, I would strike the words "with or without compensation". Then it would say that this analysis would be of any potential takings of property under the proposed rule or regulation including, and it would go on. But the "with or without compensation" would be stricken. I'd like to ask Senator Wickersham a question, because he went to my favorite document, the constitution.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Wickersham.