

necessarily and I think that might be another reason to question the value of the bill. But that having been said, under Section 2, paragraph 1, subparagraph (d), subsubparagraph, I guess, (ii), is the language pertaining to what this analysis is supposed to involve. The estimated but not necessarily quantified fiscal impact on the state or an explanation of whether description of the type of property and fiscal impact may be inspected and obtained. Again, very nebulous language but the way that reads, to me, I'm going to...I'd like to argue by way of examples and see whether or not the necess...the example I'm using is, in fact, an accurate one. But the way I read this, if, for instance, our Department of Environmental Quality were to pass a state wetlands piece of legislation, the way I read this language, as part of their analysis, the Department of Environmental Control (sic) would have to do some type of an inventory of the wetlands in the State of Nebraska in order to come up with...and I don't really know what a fiscal impact, not necessarily estimated, but not necessarily quantified fiscal impact would be but we would have to do some sort of an inventory of the wetlands that exist in the State of Nebraska. If you were going to do something with barbers and the type of equipment they use, you would have to do some sort of survey of the number of barbers that still have the type of dusting equipment, that Senator Chambers was talking about. If you would have some required modification of the home of day-care providers, in order to get a day-care license, you would have to know how many of them have, for instance, if you're going to require a particular type of heating device in those homes, you would have to do some sort of a quantification of that. Now, I can understand the people on the side of this bill saying, yeah, if you're going to make an impact...if you're going to pass regulations, you ought to have an idea of what the impact is out there. On the other hand, are we prepared to staff our state government agencies to the extent necessary for a literal compliance with what's asked for here? I know this is not the bill, this is an amendment to it and we haven't had time to do any sort of fiscal analysis yet as far as what type of staff inside the agencies we are going to need. But it would appear to me as though it would be a tremendous burden on these agencies. You know, as Senator Chambers alluded to before, it's the type of bill under normal circumstances a Governor, if he saw this come across his desk, would say this is outlandish burden on state government...

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: One minute.