

both, I think, would admit that's an even grayer area, how does the agency know what to do when we don't know what taking means and cannot tell them?

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: I think at the time an agency is attempting to promulgate a rule that they can make an analysis of the state of the law at that moment and provide a meaningful analysis of what they believe it is and whether or not they can...whether or not it has any potential impact under the rule or regulation they're considering.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I will have to turn my light on again because I don't want to ask another question or start a sentence and not be able to complete it.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Next, Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES: Mr. Chairman and members of the body, I rise to support Withem's amendment. He worked with Wickersham on this and their attorneys' minds are better than mine are on things like this and I sure appreciate the help from both of them. And so I rise in support of this amendment and I think it will clarify a few things. Thank you.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Thank you, Senator Jones. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I'm going to support the amendment, by the way. When you get two lawyers together and they agree on something then I guess you've got something but I don't think Senator Withem is a lawyer. Senator Withem, would you like to respond to that?

SPEAKER WITHEM: I was going to ask for a point of personal privilege earlier. Thank you for giving me the opportunity. I have never been so insulted in all my time in the Legislature.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: (Laughter.) Okay, I had to give...

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I had to give him that opening. But, members of the Legislature, in all seriousness, as serious as I can be about a bill such as this, there is some nebulous something out