property, an analysis of any potential taking of property under the proposed rule or regulation.

SENATOR MAURSTAD: Okay.

SPEAKER WITHEM: It's an insertion.

SENATOR MAURSTAD: Thank you for that clarification.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Thank you, Senator Maurstad. Senator Wickersham.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Thank you, Mr. President. I will be brief. I think that Senator Withem has brought to us a useful suggestion in his amendment and will support adoption of the amendment. I'm grateful for his attention and care in the drafting and presentation of it.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Thank you, Senator Wickersham. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I would like to ask Senator Withem a question and this is based on how his amendment ties into the language that would be left.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Senator Withem.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Withem, when we get into the language beginning in the present amendment, an analysis, you don't change anything after that?

SPEAKER WITHEM: Nothing is changed, no.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So we would still have potential...any potential taking of property?

SPEAKER WITHEM: My amendment does not go to that issue.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, thank you. I think Senator Withem's amendment probably is an improvement but there still is a serious problem with the amendment even with his attached because we yet have an analysis of any potential takings of property under the proposed rule. So Senator Withem says if the