

to accomplish. It's to limit, and using my characterization, it's to limit the application of what I think is an unneeded bill to only those areas where the supporters of it see a concern. There may be other things that need to be done. For instance, the very brief exchange I had with Senator Chambers there, he may have a difference of opinion even with me on what might possibly constitute a taking. So maybe we need to limit this to real property, if that's where the concern is, because I was using a hypothetical example in my exchange with him of a board that would have rule and reg writing authority, probably never would have...have an application by this. But his interpretation was that they might. My goal is to limit the application of this though so that...so that we don't have all of the multitude of agencies here in the state government directory having to concern themselves with this taking issue when reasonable people would not see any application of this rule regulation to them. I would be happy to respond to any questions. Again, so it's clear, I'm not a supporter of 168. This is not an attempt, however, to somehow, through subterfuge, to destroy the bill. I think it will just make the bill what I consider to be not a particularly good piece of legislation, not have as wide applicability as it might otherwise have. I would urge the adoption of the amendment.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Thank you, Senator Withem. Senator Maurstad.

SENATOR MAURSTAD: Mr. President, I just have a real quick question for Senator Withem, if he would respond.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Senator Withem.

SPEAKER WITHEM: I would respond.

SENATOR MAURSTAD: Does your amendment...I see that it starts on page 1, line 23, does it...is it in place of the entire (d) as in the amendment 1246? So all of the language would be...would be stricken and replaced by your amendment?

SPEAKER WITHEM: No. This is an insertion rather than...there is no deletion, it's merely an insertion of this language on the next to the last line. The copy I have doesn't have numbers on it. It would be inserted after (d) so it would read, after (d), if the proposed rule or regulation or the amendment or repeal of the rule or regulation may constitute or allow taking of real