

SENATOR ROBINSON: How do those two compare, do you have any idea?

SENATOR HALL: I would believe, as I understand...

SENATOR ROBINSON: There would be a lot more electors, wouldn't there?

SENATOR HALL: Correct, a lot more.

SENATOR ROBINSON: So if we feel there is too many we just....

SENATOR HALL: You could always reduce the percentages.

SENATOR ROBINSON: Right. that's what I'm saying.

SENATOR HALL: Yeah, the numbers but that wouldn't be the way it was before.

SENATOR ROBINSON: Well, you're saying that it should be back to the way it was...

SENATOR HALL: The way it was before, absolutely.

SENATOR ROBINSON: ...and not tinker with it.

SENATOR HALL: I've been convinced that it ought to go back to the way it was before.

SENATOR ROBINSON: And not play around with it, is that...

SENATOR HALL: That's right.

SENATOR ROBINSON: Okay. Well I'm not sure I agree with you on that, but I guess you answered my question anyway. Thank you, Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: You bet.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Robinson. Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Madam President and members, again, I rise in support of the amendment. I think that after much discussion, after a number of articles written by those who chastise myself and Senator Chambers, frankly, the only reason