

we had a bill, and I'll say it again, a bill that everybody could live with that crossed General File and so I'm going to resist very hard this amendment. However, if this amendment goes, I'm not sure that I'm going to resist some of the other amendments because I think that once you've broken the thing apart it could go in a hundred different directions and I'm not even sure I can support the bill if this is adopted. So with that I would just urge you to carefully consider voting against the Dierks amendment. Thank you.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Schimek. Senator Chambers, on the Hall amendment to the Dierks amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President, members of the Legislature, I support the Hall amendment. If people are as upset as they say they are with an interpretation that the court gave of an amendment to this provision that Senator Hall is offering to us, the only reason they're upset is because the court said something other than what they wanted the court to say. They're not upset with the court ruling. They're just upset with the way the court ruled. So to make everything like it was, to go back to those pristine pure days before all of this that we're talking about now happened, Senator Hall is giving you the precise language from the Constitution and is if as all of you have argued, things were so much better then, everybody knew what was expected, why do you now say you're opposed to the language that supposedly had everything clearly on the table for everybody? Because people don't even know what they're talking about, is that what the problem is, that when they said that the old way was the way it should stay, were they saying that only because somebody told them to say it? And now whoever told them to say that is telling them to say something different about it now? Senator Dierks, the high school that I went to doesn't even exist anymore. It was called Tech High and they used to have little pep rallies, and that was long ago, but they'd say, "go back, go back, go back to the woods, you haven't, you haven't, you haven't got the goods; you haven't got the goods and you haven't got the class and you haven't got the team that Tech High has." Then everybody would cheer. Well we're giving you a chance to go back to the original. Where will a problem be created? Senator Schellpeper hasn't gotten into the discussion, but, Senator Schellpeper, haven't you heard people indicating that we have the problems now because of a change that was made to the Constitution and the way the court interpreted that change? That's...oh, Senator Schellpeper shook