I don't like. If the people here who oppose some of the things that you'd like to do, we're striking out the words that said the first powers of the people are initiatives and those of petitions, I'd be real nervous about that simply because that could be a subterfuge and some attempt to do away totally with petitions and referendums and initiatives and so on. But I'm not going to vote for your amendment simply because I think it is...it's putting a phrase in the constitution that I don't think has any independent, clear meaning, other than what's already in there. And when I put those things in the constitution, if I don't know what they're doing, that just gives the court one other avenue to make some ruling, and quite frankly I don't like rolling the dice with those. And so with that, I know your intent, I think it's clear. I think the constitution is adequate, well it's more than adequate, I think it's crystal clear that you're going to keep the right of initiative and petitions, regardless of what happens here. Now the court, you know, let's say that they find part of this a d it would have to, I assume, be the United States Supreme Court, because if the Supreme Court of Nebraska, you can't find a portion of our constitution that's unconstitutional, it just doesn't happen that way. What I would really urge you to do is to try to make sure we protect those other phrases in here and not add ones that just might help somewhere down the line. I think you could walk into a trap and I'm just really afraid you're going to have some unintended consequences. If you want to freeze these things so that petition gatherers have absolute power, I would word it in a different manner. But I don't think that's your intent at this point. I'm just afraid you're going to innocently stumble into some real problems with this amendment, and it doesn't have a clear reason behind it other than just to guarantee what the constitution already says. And it...

SPEAKER WITHEM: One minute.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: ...says what it says, and I certainly don't think you ought to be adding more language to it, you know, just in what if, or whatever case. And with that, I certainly think that Senator Chambers probably has a different view of it than I do, but we probably reach the same answer, is that why put this in unless you've got an absolute need to put it in there. I don't think you have that need. And with that, I think it becomes very dangerous.