

like to move to substitute the amendment at the desk for my amendment to the amendment at this time. (FA95 appears on pages 1348-49 of the Legislative Journal.)

SENATOR LINDSAY: Are there objections? So ordered.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Members of the body, what this amendment does actually it puts all the things we have talked about into one amendment. So, with this amendment, we do, number one, we front-load it. It will be 16, 14 and 12, and the reason you need, obviously, the 16 and 14 is the 16 puts it into this upcoming biennium that we're going to be working on, so it certainly is going to make things a little tighter this year but it frees up money in the outlying years when we're probably going to need it actually. So that's a good thing. But the reason we need the 30 is because that's when the bubble is, that's when we need to have the \$30 million over the next four years. It also has in it it will be within the appropriations process, and, Senator McKenzie, I hope you pick this up because I know you mentioned it one way, maybe I didn't explain it well, but it still maintains the transfer for the first two years. So we still have the first...the 16 is actually going to be transferred, as it was before, it will be in the appropriation process on the other years and it still has the intent language that we had concerning production agriculture. So, hopefully, this amendment takes care of most of the concerns out there and I move the adoption of the amendment.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Thank you, Senator Bernard-Stevens. Senator Bohlke.

SENATOR BOHLKE: Mr. Speaker, I call the question.

SENATOR LINDSAY: I'm going to rule that out of order, Senator Bohlke. This is the first discussion on this amendment. Senator McKenzie.

SENATOR MCKENZIE: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and members of the body, I rise in support of the Bernard-Stevens substitute amendment and I think that if, in fact, we do both things in this amendment, we will have done the best job that we can do in guaranteeing that we are serious about solving this problem and that we are serious about our intent in stating that if there is a shortfall in the future, it will not be one particular segment of the population who is asked to come to the table again with