

SENATOR JONES: No, I don't.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. I figured that...

SENATOR CROSBY: Time, time. Gave you time to ask your question. This is be kind to Senator Chambers week. Before we go to the next speaker on this amendment, I'd like to call the legislators' attention to the fact that we have as our guest today Sam and Eileen Schrock of Holdrege, they're under the south balcony and they're parents of Senator Schrock. Would you like to stand and be welcomed by your Legislature. Thank you. Senator Bromm, on the Lindsay amendment.

SENATOR BROMM: Thank you, Senator Crosby. I think that I am going to support Senator Lindsay's amendment and the only hesitation that I have about it is that I do believe that that amendment takes away any direction to have the Attorney General focus on the takings issue with any more emphasis than he already does. And I guess in all fairness to those people who might have concerns with the bill, I think it should help the bill to put the examination of a takings issue on the same level as examination of the proposed regulation for any and all other concerns that he might be examining it for. And because the takings issue is still in a state of development and flux around the country in terms of legal decisions, perhaps it's more appropriate to simply have the public disclosure and the agency requirement that they really look at the issue strongly as the Wickersham-Jones amendment does. Then I believe the Attorney General will do the job that he has been instructed to do previously by law, and that is look at the proposed regulation after the public hearing, did the agency do its due diligence in the process, did it hold the public hearing, did it examine the takings aspect. Attorney General will then give the communication, after the review, to the agency, that communication will remain confidential as it has in the past. So I am going to support the Lindsay amendment. I hope those that have questions about the bill will consider this to be somewhat of a concession and a conciliatory position on the part of the proponents of the bill. With this language change, the way Senator Lindsay is proposing, I would hope that the bill would get the support of by far the majority of those on the floor and we could move ahead with it and implement the legislation. I really don't think when it's all said and done that the Jones-Wickersham amendment and the Lindsay amendment to the amendment change the original...the intent of the bill all