

really talking about property sorts of situations and rules and regs that impact on property. You know, wetlands rules and regs is another one. So, you know, with the amendment the way it's drafted right now I think it is way too broad. I have, I'll be up front with you, I have problems with the whole takings issue anyway. But to tell different agencies that have absolutely nothing to do with property values, regulation of property, that they have to add this additional analysis, I think would be superfluous. So I'm not going to be supportive of the underlying amendment. I will offer an amendment that I think will clear up some of that language, hopefully. And I am supportive of the Lindsay amendment.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Withem. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President, members of the Legislature, when you start out with a bad bill and you add little bits and pieces to it, nibble around the edges, it becomes worse. I support Senator Lindsay's amendment, even though I don't support the bill. It's becoming more and more bunglesome. First of all, if you adopt the amendment that Senators Jones and Wickersham are presenting to us, as Senator Lindsay pointed out, you're talking about an analysis reference to these potential takings. In Section 1 of the original bill, which amends a different portion of statute than that done by the amendment that Senators Jones and Wickersham presented, the Attorney General is given a separate and distinct responsibility to undertake an independent study to determine whether or not there is a taking without just compensation, or whatever part of that they may strike. The Attorney General, if he or she is to have no part to play in this determination, should have no part to play in it. And Senator Lindsay's amendment will at least bring some clarity to a murky situation. I don't know if Senator Jones can answer this question or not, but I'm going to ask him.

SENATOR CROSBY: Senator Jones.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Jones, oh, I see Senator Wickersham is through now and it might be a legal type question, so I'd rather ask him, if he would answer it.

SENATOR CROSBY: Senator Wickersham.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Wickersham, if we don't adopt Senator Lindsay's amendment wouldn't there continue to be an independent