

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: ...it has a fiscal impact on some person.

SENATOR CROSBY: One minute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Let me just further comment that I find that duplication interesting in light of the...in light of taking out of another section of the amendment the duplication that existed. For example, this amendment eliminates the new language in lines 8 through 10, on page 2, which...which explicitly looked at the taking question, or directed attention to the taking question as it may be related to the Attorney General's review of constitutionality. The argument would have been that that's duplicative, if he's looking at constitutionality, looking at takings is one thing that he would definitely look at. I would argue also that the state agency, if they're looking at fiscal impact, would be looking at this question also, and that it's equally duplicative that while it eliminates duplication in the green copy, it creates duplication in the white copy and in another area of our procedures. Thank you.

SENATOR CROSBY: Time. Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Madam President, Senator Lindsay would move to amend the Jones-Wickersham amendment. (FA94 appears on page 1336 of the Legislative Journal.)

SENATOR CROSBY: Senator Lindsay, to open on your amendment.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Thank you, Madam President, members. The amendment should be on your desk. It's a handwritten amendment. What it does, it's an amendment to AM1246, which is the Jones amendment. And all it does is to add what is being struck, would include Section 1 of the original bill. The...so that the balance, if this amendment is adopted and then the Jones amendment is adopted what would remain in the bill would be Section 2 in AM1246. That would be page 1, line 3 through page 3, line 2...or excuse me, page 3, line 4. And that's what it would be. What this does...right...assuming the Jones amendment is adopted, the procedure now is that the Attorney General would have to review...would have a separate statement of what the Attorney General has to review, and you've also had the same statement of what's done in the public rule-making process. The Attorney General is already charged with the