

amendment, I think, really makes the process a little more logical. I think it puts the public into the process, which I don't...I would hope no one would argue is wrong. And all it does...the Attorney General is supposed to review the regulations now, proposed regulations for constitutionality. All this does is simply require that he focus on the takings aspect and not forget to look at that aspect. So I think...

SENATOR CROSBY: Time.

SENATOR BROMM: ...I think all in all that's a summary of what I think the amendment does. Thank you, Madam President.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Bromm. Senator Beutler, on the Jones amendment.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Crosby, members of the Legislature, Senator Wickersham, if I could just ask you a couple of questions to be sure I understand this correctly. Under your amendment, in the first instance, authority is added to the Attorney General's office to review compliance with 84-907. Is that correct?

SENATOR CROSBY: Senator Wickersham.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Well, the review, under 84-907, is already part of the process.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Well, as I understand it in the very last paragraph of your amendment, the Attorney General now has additional authority to review the 84-907 process.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Well, Senator,...

SENATOR BEUTLER: I assume...go ahead.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Senator, I think we're making explicit what should occur in any event. I doubt that the Attorney General could give his stamp or approval...his or her stamp of approval to a rule or regulation that was adopted without the proper procedural process, and that's essentially what we're referring to; 84-907 sets out some of the procedural matters for the adoption of a rule or regulation.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. So with respect to that part, you don't