

don't even understand it, nor its impact. But those who constantly work in the courts, meaning the lawyers and the judges, there is a great amount of interest, concern and personal preference involved and they're entitled to try to get the Legislature to accommodate their interests and concerns. But what I would hate to do is see a load that an...that a 747 would have trouble carrying put on this little, innocent Piper Cub that has kind of bumped its way through the atmosphere of the legislative process and made it to the airport, but it has not yet landed, Senator Engel, it has not yet landed.

SENATOR CROSBY: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And, Senator Bernard-Stevens, I agree with you that when something is up in the air and it's propelled by an engine, at some point it is going to come down. Whether it will land in the way it's supposed to or will crash, which is defined by a federal agency as an operational aircraft flying into the ground, that will be determined by what is done with these amendments. I'm going to listen to the debate and I may have something to say about it, but I'm going to try to leave that aspect of it to others. But I hope you will consider some of what I have suggested to you.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Beutler, on the Lindsay amendment.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Hey, did you all see the article in the paper today about the flies and how they can genetically manipulate flies now so they can put eyes on their wings and eyes on their feet and eyes all over the place? Be able to do that with human beings soon, too, I guess. But I figured that there's probably a good reason the good Lord gave us eyes in front of our heads and that was because he intended us to move forward on things and not to be looking backwards and not to be going backwards. And, with this particular amendment, you're going directly backwards, you're making a beeline for 1980 and a system that was even less efficient than what we have today. I know I sit here moaning on this issue all the time, but I want to also offer you constructive solutions, and I've been trying to do that over time. You know, in time we used to have 23, 24 judicial districts and we've reduced those, it took 10 years but we reduced those to 12. And it's a more highly efficient system because we've done that, because resources can be shared within those districts. But with respect to the particular issue