

advantage of these, that decide to reorganize and find that their tier level changes and would otherwise have lost state aid will not lose that for a number of years. And there are basically three years in which their state aid would stair step down until in the fourth year they were counted as if they were in the original tier. One thing I don't remember and if you've got the ready answer, that's great, if you need to check on it, that's fine too. But I know that...let's take for example the school districts in Senator Schrock's district, was that Orleans and Oxford and Beaver City got together and because we use the data in...and they do that in this year, there will be some time before the data catches up with them by which those kids will still be treated as if they had been in the previous districts anyway, this arrears sort of information, and then we get to the base year, there will be three more years, the first year it will be all that they would have gotten, the second year it will be two-thirds of what they have gotten or what they get otherwise. My question is, how many years in arrear do we have, how many total years will it be that these districts will be receiving state aid? Is it one year in addition to the three or is it two years in addition to the three?

SENATOR HALL: Senator Bohlke.

SENATOR BOHLKE: It would be two years, Senator Withem.

SPEAKER WITHEM: So in effect then we'd be having five years where this is a...the bill says three years in effect, but the way the whole 1059 formula functions, it will be five years after they begin the reorganization that...and two of those are there now today.

SENATOR BOHLKE: That's true, but the change is only three years.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Right, right, yeah, I just wanted to make that point that...and it's...people that don't understand the 1059 formula, obviously I don't, because I didn't remember if it was one or two at this time. But they will then be receiving, this is a five-year sort of incentive as opposed to a three-year incentive. That's just a question I wanted clarified and a point I wanted to make.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Senator Withem, Senator Bohlke. Senator Schrock, your light is next to the Bohlke amendment.