

information that I have, I would, as I said earlier, support the bracket motion, if it is felt that it is going to take up too much time and we can't get this done, and some people think that there is certain little quirks in this need to be ironed out, that is perfectly all right with me. But, you know, if on the other hand there is a determination that we can get that done here today with some amendments, then I am perfectly willing to move ahead on it. But I do think that this is an important piece of legislation and we shouldn't waste all the time, but certainly get it right, before we put it out. So with that, thank you.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President, members of the Legislature, I was talking to Senator Stuhr and mentioning how this bill was going on and a lot of people probably saw problems with it, but I had to offer the kill motion to stop it, because a lot of senators want to go along and get along with their colleagues, they don't want to be bad, but I told them, I think all of you all will agree, I was born to be bad. So I am just doing what my nature requires me to do. But as far as what Senator Withem said on the scheduling of the resolution, I accept what he said at face value. Just like he said it without reservation, I have no questions about it. But I will tell you one thing he said that I disagree with. He mentioned that we knew 425 was coming up. If you read the green sheet, that is correct. I had no reason to read it, but when I was down in my office trying to do some work that I couldn't do yesterday because I was trying to protect the U.S. Constitution from being trifled with, I heard some of the discussion on 425, and I said, wait a minute, instead of nibbling around the edges, that bill needs to be stopped, and I came up here and there was no kill motion up there. Why do we have a bracket motion? There are people like Senator Maurstad who were questioning Senator Vrtiska on that little piddling bill he had on economic development because it wasn't definite enough and tight enough. You weren't talking about \$6 million dollars, if my recollection is correct, but Senator Maurstad is the one now saying every thing is fine with this bill. That's the kind of nonsense that occurs on the floor. Penny wise and pound foolish. Get Vrtiska because he has got a little sieve here that will barely let a molecule go through, but when Maurstad supports something, it will let the whole treasury go through and that should be accepted because the Chamber of Commerce wants it, I looked at the people