

would not have brought this piece of legislation. No member of the Legislature would. It does not constitute wise lawmaking. Now what Senator Bromm is attempting to do to this is in the nature of wise lawmaking. But if you pour new wine in old bottles, it does not work. As the fermenting process takes place, the old bottles, they were made out of skin, are split. New wine must be poured into new bottles. You also don't sew new material on old material. Now that's what, Senator Bromm, the Scripture said, I'm just throwing a little bit of that in this morning, because that new will cause a tear in the old when it shrinks. So what Senator Bromm is trying to do is make a silk purse out of a sow's ear by pouring new wine into old bottles and sewing new fabric onto old fabric. That is not a wise thing for us to do. I'm going to, as I stated...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...not vote on Senator Bromm's amendment, but the bill I think does have to die.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator. Senator Maurstad wishes to announce that the following guests are visiting the Legislature. There are 12 students and their teacher here from St. Joseph Catholic School in Beatrice. They are fourth graders. They are in the north balcony. Will you all stand and be recognized, please. Welcome to the Legislature. Senator Preister, your light is next.

SENATOR PREISTER: Thank you, Honorable President, friends all, I rise in opposition to the amendment. I guess I also rise in opposition to the legislation after hearing the debate too. And I guess I'd like to create a takings of my own and take this bill off the agenda, but it's here now and we're going to deal with it. I think Senator Bernard-Stevens certainly addressed my concerns with this amendment in that I think too that there should be more scrutiny and more openness of what is going on and to preclude that, to take away the option of having that available to the public when there is an Attorney General's ruling or an opinion on a takings, to me, is counter productive and is going against what the intent of this whole legislation is all about. So I stand in opposition to the bill as it is drafted but I'm particularly concerned with the amendment, particularly concerned that there would be less opportunity for people to even know what is taking place which could lead to I think what Senator Jones is referring to as additional