

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Well, Senator Jones, I don't know if...I don't think I'm going to support this bill, and not because I don't agree with the intent of it.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Time.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: But I just don't think that we understand the repercussions here yet of what this bill could do.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Time.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Did you call time? Thank you.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator. Senator Chambers, your light is next.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President, members of the Legislature, I'm preparing an amendment that I'm offering, and if there is an intention by the Legislature to take all this seriously then I'm going to push my amendment in all seriousness. But I'd like to ask Senator Bromm a question.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Bromm.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And, Senator Bromm, I'm asking you this question because you're offering the amendment, and maybe somebody else would have to answer it. What is the purpose of keeping this information confidential?

SENATOR BROMM: My understanding has been that the review of proposed regulations has been confidential in the past. This would be keeping definitely consistent with that practice and procedure. If it were wide open from the beginning, all review opinions, I am afraid that it would have legal ramifications later and I think that probably would have a chilling effect on probably some needed rules and regulations, Senator Chambers. That's my opinion I guess.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, and that's a general philosophy of reference to the review process.

SENATOR BROMM: Right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. But in this instance, is the purpose of this...maybe I'd better ask Senator Jones this question.