

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...let me, I guess, just in the time left pose an additional question.

SENATOR BROMM: Sure.

SENATOR BEUTLER: I don't know statutorily of any takings law that we have that would be in addition to the constitutional requirements that might be applicable to a rule or regulation generally. And if there is...if there's some statute, I'd like to know what it is. I'd like to learn about it. If there's not, then I think what is being suggested by this bill opens up an even larger Pandora's Box in the sense that are we saying to the Attorney General, well, you decide what a taking is, the Legislature doesn't have any statutes, the constitution only prohibits certain kinds of things, but you, Mr. Attorney General, can decide that there are other things that are takings and on that basis argue against the approval of certain rules and regulations.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Time.

SENATOR BEUTLER: If that's what's being suggested, then I...I'm more startled by this bill.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Schimek, your light is next.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes, thank you, Madam President, members of the body. I've had my light on for some time and kept saying I wanted to wait until the bill, but I finally decided that everybody is talking to the bill this morning, I might as well get up and talk about the bill too. I have a couple of questions for Senator Jones this morning. Senator Jones, this bill, of course, I think it...is this the same bill that you introduced last year and that was heard by the Government Committee last year? It hasn't changed at all.

SENATOR JONES: We might have changed some wording in it just a little bit, but it's practically the same one, yes.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Okay. Well, I had a concern about that bill last year and I was thinking that we didn't put it out on the floor. But I went back and looked at the committee statement and see that I'm actually the only one who voted against putting