

responsible, thoughtful body than the House.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: In this instance we have only one house. And based on that we have to catch this bad legislation and stop it. This bill ought not be passed. If Senator Bromm's amendment is attached that will make no difference in my attitude. I will not vote yea or nay on his amendment because there is a more significant issue than that particular amendment and what it expresses. I hope this bill will not advance, in fact I hope the Legislature will indefinitely postpone it.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Members of the Legislature, I wanted to say first of all, Senator Bromm, I know I'm kind of taking a hard attitude towards this bill. I don't mean to be taking a hard attitude towards your amendment and what you're trying to do, and I really appreciate what you're...what you have done with the amendment. I think it's an honest effort to make some peace, possibly, between competing interests and to establish some confidentiality here that would be appropriate for a situation where the information could be used in a lawsuit, would be used in a lawsuit. And so I just wanted to say that I certainly support the Bromm amendment, Senator. And I wanted to ask you, too, I don't know how deep your interest runs in this bill. I know you were a co-signer of the bill. But are you aware, under current law, with respect to the current system and the current way of doing things of any situation where a rule or regulation, subsequent to an Attorney General review, has been found in violation of the just compensation provisions of the federal or State Constitution?

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Bromm.

SENATOR BEUTLER: In other words, what I'm getting at is this, Senator Bromm, it seems to me we in Nebraska keep a pretty level head about things and we don't go off doing some of the crazy things they do on the east coast and the west coast, and undoubtedly they've gone too far from time to time with takings and their view of compensation from place to place, at least I've seen some cases that have bothered me not knowing the details of the case. But here in Nebraska I am not aware of and do not perceive that we've gone overboard in the first instance,