

does that treat these differently from all of the other decisions they're making with respect to these rules and regs and the information they're passing along,...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...and, two, what is the distinction...why are we seeking a distinction? That is, is not the Attorney General the attorney for the agency and the attorney for the Governor? Are they not his clients, just the same as a lawyer for a corporation does the legal work for the corporation? The Attorney General, with respect to these items, is doing legal work for the agency and for the government, and why are we making a distinction and ignoring or rejecting the attorney-client privilege? And when you have time, Senator, why don't you address that, and I'll get back to it.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Time. Thank you, Senator. Senator Schimek, your light is next, followed by Senators Bernard-Stevens and Hall.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: On the bill, on the bill.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Bernard-Stevens.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Excuse me, Senator Withem. Senator Bromm, would you yield to a question?

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Bromm.

SENATOR BROMM: Yes, Senator Bernard-Stevens.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Senator Bromm, would you go through with me briefly and take me through the concerns that the Department of Environmental Quality had in reference to the bill, that it was felt that your amendment would be...would be helpful. My assumption was that DEQ had a problem with the bill, and so...and I'm assuming that they are in support of your amendment, and that takes away their problem. I'm just wondering what the problem was and how this helps.

SENATOR BROMM: Sure, that's a good question. My understanding, from the meeting, Senator Bernard-Stevens, that I had with Director Wood, was that the committee amendment gave them concerns, because by saying that the advice would...shall not be