

been the one that originally suggested to Senator Pirsch and Landis and Kristensen and Schellpeper and Hall that on the constitutional amendments that had not been delivered or not been placed on the ballot, because of some question about their delivery time, that we may want to expedite those. And I also said to them because there were an inordinate number of constitutional amendments that had been introduced this session, we might end up with a double whammy of constitutional amendments on the general election ballot in 1996, and it might be advantageous for us, as a Legislature, and for the voters themselves if they didn't have so many to wade through. So if we could place some of these on the primary election ballot instead of the general election, it would be a good idea. That requires 40 votes. What we did procedurally, to remind you, on 4CA and 21CA, last Friday, was that we voted on both of those for primary election, neither one had the 40 votes. We then proceeded to vote on them without the primary election clause included and they have passed. They will be referred to the voters. The policy question then I think you could argue really is no longer before us. As a matter of fact, that's how I'm going to vote. Although we're talking about 4CA, I'll pick on Senator Pirsch's priority bill a second. Senator Pirsch knows I've never been a big supporter of 21CA or whatever its previous number was. And as a matter of fact when it came to voting on it, on a policy consideration on Friday, I was not voting. I will be voting for it today, and I will view that vote simply as one of placing it on the primary election ballot as opposed to the general election ballot. I think we can make a cleaner ballot in 1996 is we do that, so I plan on voting on both of these measures to have them placed on the primary ballot. And even if you're not favorably disposed to either one of these, you had an opportunity on Friday to make your voice heard on the policy consideration. Today, as a procedural matter, I think it would be helpful if we would place these on the primary election ballot. So I will be voting for... I'll be voting yes four times in a row; to reconsider 4CA, then voting yes on passing it to be one of the 40 votes, hopefully, then the same way on 21CA, giving two positive votes on that. And I would urge at least 39 other senators to do the same.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Withem Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President, members of the Legislature, the only reason I'm speaking is because Senator Kristensen is getting a second bite at the apple. I'm opposed to the