

March 10, 1995      LB 90, 307

Schimek voting yes.

SPEAKER WITHEM:    The house is under call.    Members, please return to your desk and record your presence.

CLERK:    Senator Crosby voting yes.    Senator Janssen voting yes.  
Senator Elmer voting yes.    Senator Pirsch voting no.    Senator  
Bernard-Stevens voting yes.    Senator Coordsen voting no.  
Senator Maurstad voting yes.    Senator Dierks voting no.    Senator  
Jones voting no.    Senator Warner voting yes.

SPEAKER WITHEM:    Record.

CLERK:    25 ayes, 5 nays, Mr. President, on the advancement of  
LB 307.

SPEAKER WITHEM:    LB 307 advances.    LB 90, Mr. Clerk.    The call  
is raised.

CLERK:    Mr. President, LB 90 is a bill originally introduced by  
Senator Bromm.    (Read title.)    The bill was introduced on  
January 5 of this year, at that time referred to the Judiciary  
Committee, advanced to General File.    There are Judiciary  
Committee amendments pending, Mr. President.    (See AM0009 found  
on page 454 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER WITHEM:    Senator Lindsay.

SENATOR LINDSAY:    Thank you.    Mr. Clerk, are the committee  
amendments printed?

CLERK:    Yes, sir, they are.    You will find them, Senator, I  
believe on page 454.

SENATOR LINDSAY:    Thank you.    Committee amendments,  
Mr. President, and members of the body, the committee  
amendments, let me explain a little bit about the bill before we  
move to the committee amendments so you understand where the  
committee amendments come from.    What the bill would do is to  
establish in some cases under state law would remove the right  
to a jury trial.    In some cases, that are not...or do not  
provide for imprisonment as a term of punishment.    The concern  
raised by Senator Bromm is that having jury trials on, for  
example, a littering charge is not a wise policy.    What the  
committee amendments do is to make a bright line for when a jury