

entire period of registration. And it also provides for a Class IV misdemeanor if a person falsely certifies. Then the rest of the bill is on page 22 which provides that it shall be unlawful to operate a motor vehicle on a public highway of this state, for the owner to allow a vehicle to be operated on a public highway unless it has a current and effective automobile liability policy, evidence of insurance and proof of financial responsibility. And I might say that one of the minor parts of this bill was defining and moving into this section the definitions for automobile liability policy, evidence of insurance and proof of financial liability. A person shall...the owner is presumed to know that of the operation of his or her motor vehicle and when it is being operated by a person other than the owner. An owner of a motor vehicle who operates or allows the operation in violation of this section shall be guilty of a Class II misdemeanor upon conviction, upon conviction. Upon conviction of allowing the operation or operating a motor vehicle without proper compliance with our financial responsibility statutes, the motor...the operator's license, the motor vehicle certificate of registration and the license plates are suspended until he or she complies with Section 60-528. And what that means is that is what is called an SR22 filing. A person that is under an order to comply with that section has to provide to the Department of Motor Vehicles, on a regular basis, it would normally be every six months, but there are other periods of insurance issuance, that they have, in effect, on that vehicle current and effective compli...insurance that complies with that. We use that in some other violations. And this SR22 compliance shall continue for a period of three years. Then it also provides a Mr. Fix-it clause and that is that if you have a currently effective financial liability situation with your vehicle and at the time you are asked by a law enforcement officer you do not have in the vehicle a current and effective either proof of insurance or the other provisions of law, that you have ten days to prove to a law enforcement officer that you did, in fact, at the instance you were asked, have effective insurance on that vehicle. And there's no cost to that at all. It's like a broken taillight or something of that sort. So that, in a nutshell, then is this bill. It's been molded by quite a lot of different people over the years. Again, it, I believe, addresses a problem that has been brought to me and I'm sure many other members of the Legislature, who have been involved in accidents or through other reasons have come to know of the number of uninsured vehicles that are currently operating on the state...on the