

petition for a particular cause.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Correct.

SENATOR VRTISKA: So I guess with that thought in mind I'm to the position where, although I wasn't going to at the outset, I feel like I can support the legislation in order to get this off of dead center and get something out there. It's going to be really interesting, at least to me, to find out what the courts do say and I guess as often been said on this floor by many of us, that just because the court tells us that that's the way it is, it doesn't necessarily mean it's right, but it's the law at any rate. So with that I don't want to take up any of your time but I just wanted you to know that this has been a very confusing issue for me because of the fact that it's not, has not been made very definite what is actually going to happen but if, in fact, it gets into court all those issues will be cleared up and we can all feel comfortable about it. So with that, I thank you very much.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Vrtiska. Senator Stuhr.

SENATOR STUHR: Madam Chairman, Senator Schimek, I'd also like to ask you a question.

SENATOR CROSBY: Senator Schimek, will you respond.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes.

SENATOR STUHR: I had a question about how actually will the paid petition carriers be distinguished from the volunteer? You know, I mean I think that's such a fuzzy line. Is there a contract or I mean...how do you know that those paid people...I mean they could actually you know, say that they're volunteers.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Well then, I think that there will have to be...it's very possible we may have to come back and enact some laws to implement this but it's also possible that there will have to be some rules and regulations adopted that would cover that. I agree with you, that this amendment doesn't specify any details of that.

SENATOR STUHR: Right, right, okay. That was my concern that I thought that really is unclear and whether we were going to you know, address that later.