

SENATOR VRTISKA: You don't know that for sure, you think that's what...

SENATOR WITEK: Well, it is pretty for sure because I've got tons of stuff that the guys who are running it say that this is what they are going to do.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Tons of stuff from who?

SENATOR WITEK: From them, we got a whole packet of information from the Governor's Office, from the Council of State Governments that talks about process amendments that Senator Chambers was quoting from. That's this document that we all received and that is talking about the process amendment changes that they want to make. So is it...I'd be, if I was a betting person, I...that would be a good bet saying this is what is going to come out, but the bigger fear, or not fear, but the bigger, the bigger issue here is if you are an individual who thinks that we need to amend the Constitution, then go ahead and start this process. If you are an individual, like myself, who thinks the problem isn't the Constitution, the problem is the people who have been elected and who are not responding to the people; the Constitution is just fine, the balance of power with the federal government and the states is just fine, it is just not being...it is not being used...

SENATOR VRTISKA: Well, I want to interrupt you because I think that...I think that what you are saying I have some problems with because you are...

SPEAKER WITHEM: One minute.

SENATOR VRTISKA: ...you are, basically, on a lot of assumptions that the purpose of this is a constitutional convention, and I don't happen to think it is. I think it is for the purpose of discussing some ideas, and I guess from whatever type of meeting you go to at any level of the government, that's the idea of meeting together and discussing some of the ideas that need to be discussed, and if when we need to change, it doesn't mean we are going to change the Constitution, it means we are going to listen to some of what the people have to say, and so I don't...I don't view this as an attempt to have a constitutional convention, and that is, apparently, where you and I don't agree. But I, for the purpose...for the purpose set out in Article V of the Constitution, I still say that it has a long