repeal all civil liberties and that everybody gets behind that at this thing and it gets carried away. What's the safeguard of that? The one safeguard is that the action plan comes back to this Legislature to be voted upon. Now, we only have control over our own vote, we can either approve it or disprove it. My guess is that that would go down in flaming defeat, but let's just say that for some reason it passed, okay, worst case scenario, and that action plan went back to Congress. Now then the entire national press is onto this, but there's one other safeguard, that then if there is going to be that constitutional amendment, it's still got to come back to the state for ratification so we get a second crack at voting on it. And if we're stupid two times in a row, our one vote counts towards that ratification process. But there is some safeguards in there. That's the reason that I can live with this. If this was a direct shot in the Congress for those amendments, I wouldn't be here either because there are other things that make me real nervous. And I think that when the Council of State Governments met there was some discussion about single issues creeping into this. If this became a forum for somebody to end run the Constitution, it became an end run to get around the state legislators, or an end run around Congress for a specific...let's say it's pro-life or pro-choice, something that's real explosive, that that would doom this conference because single issues will doom it, so will partisan politics, by the way. You know there are people who happen to like the membership of Congress now, there are others who don't, that's going to happen. But this is designed to treat the long-term things. The reason that I can't support your amendment is that I think this conference ought to be able to at least discuss and say what long term would be in the best interest of the states. Your points are valid that there are certain answers, there are certain things that they could do that would be dumb, well, not dumb, that's kind of an unacademic term, that's more my term, that would be dangerous, Draconian and just outright dastardly. I don't want those things to happen either. You and I may disagree on what those may be, but there are two protections in this whole process that will at least let us screen those crazy things out.

SPEAKER WITHEM: One minute.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: With that, I really would oppose this amendment. I don't think that you want to hamstring and come in and...what's the word you use, impinge upon, Senator Chambers,