

same level and that's what this committee amendment does. But we also recognized that if, in fact, it is unconstitutional, which I don't believe it is, but nonetheless, if the Supreme Court in its infinite wisdom will say it's unconstitutional, instead of just leaving it there and say well we'll have to come back again, the Government Committee said, no, if that's the case we will go back to the way it was under...the way that Senator Dierks would have liked to have had it in LR 6CA with whatever amendments the Legislature may put on it. And I think that's a fair compromise and, Senator Witek, it is a compromise. Not necessarily...sometimes compromises are between opponents and opponents, sometimes the compromises of trying to get the best of all ideas into one. And I encourage the body to support the committee amendments. It's the best way to get something to the public that makes sense policywise and I appreciate the Chairman not only supporting the committee amendments but giving me a couple of minutes and I yield back the final time to the Government Committee, Senator Robinson.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Robinson waives off. The question now then is the adoption of the committee amendments. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay. Record, Mr. Clerk. A record vote has been requested.

CLERK: (Read record vote. See pages 980-81 of the Legislative Journal.) 76 ayes, 12 nays on committee amendments, Mr. President

SPEAKER WITHEM: The committee amendments are adopted. I understand that one amendment that had been filed up here was contingent upon the defeat of the committee amendments. Senator Dierks, is that correct? Anything else on the amendments?

CLERK: Senator Schimek would move to amend, Mr. President. (Schimek amendment appears on page 981 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Schimek, on your amendment.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes, Mr. President, members of the body, I have offered AMO784 which I'm going to try to explain what I'm wanting to do and then I'm going to ask if we can substitute the amendment that is just coming down from bill drafter. And the reason that we're doing this is that I got to looking at the figures over the weekend and changed the figures but forgot to